PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

			·		H	WIPO	AY ZUUD
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRI INTERNATIO		PINION OF EARCHING	THE	
					(PCT R	ule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
International application No. International filing date PCT/US2005/001069 13.01.2005			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.01.2004			
			both national classification	and IPC		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	K38/11, A61K38	3/08, A61P15/0	b 				
	icant RRING B.V.						
	——————————————————————————————————————						
	This		ana ralatina ta tha fal	lawing itama:			
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:			
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicati Basis of the op	_	lowing items:			
1.	• •	Basis of the op	pinion				
1.	☑ Box No. I☐ Box No. II☑ Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish	pinion ment of opinion with reg		ntive step a	nd industrial ap	plicability
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity o	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention	ard to novelty, inver	•		
1.	☑ Box No. I☐ Box No. II☑ Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state	pinion ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inver	to novelty,		
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation	ard to novelty, inver	to novelty,		
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stata applicability; of Certain docum	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation	ard to novelty, inver is 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such s	to novelty,		
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect	ninion ment of opinion with reg if invention tement under Rule 43b, itations and explanation	ard to novelty, inver is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such s plication	to novelty,		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; c Certain docum Certain defect Certain observ	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43b itations and explanation nents cited s in the international ap	ard to novelty, inver is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such s plication	to novelty,		
1. 2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant obe	Basis of the operation of the International presuments of the Internation occasion of the Internation occasion and the Internation occasion occasio	pinion ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43b itations and explanation nents cited s in the international ap	iard to novelty, invertis. 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such supporting such supplication in application in ade, this opinion in a be the IPEA and the supplication in the supplicat	to novelty, tatement vill usually h. However ne chosen	inventive step of be considered t , this does not a IPEA has notife	or industrial o be a upply where d the
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant cholinternational But will not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI Southernation is the IPI Box No. VIII	Basis of the operation of the International pressured an Authoreau under Ruleinsidered. as provided about the Internation of the International pressured under Ruleinsidered. as provided about the Internation of the International pressured under Ruleinsidered. as provided about the Internation of the Internation o	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43bl itations and explanation tents cited s in the international ap vations on the internation title of the presention of the present of the presention of the present of the presention of th	pard to novelty, inverses. 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a plication made, this opinion was a plication of the IPEA and the plant opinions of this Interportate, with amending the populate.	to novelty, tatement will usually the chosen national So the IPEA, the	inventive step of the considered to the considered to the construction of the construc	o be a apply where d the ity
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant chell international Burwill not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the points of the IPI months from the points of the IPI months from the III months II months	Basis of the operation of the International presumed and under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International presumed and under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International presumed under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International presumed under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International presumed under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International presumed in the International presumed in the International presumed in the International presumed in the International Internatio	ment of opinion with regot invention tement under Rule 43b itations and explanation tents cited in the International apprations on the international iminary examination is all Preliminary Examinity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written ove, considered to be ally together, where approf Form PCT/ISA/220 of	pard to novelty, inverses. 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a plication made, this opinion was a plication of the IPEA and the plant opinions of this Interportate, with amending the populate.	to novelty, tatement will usually the chosen national So the IPEA, the	inventive step of the considered to the considered to the construction of the construc	o be a apply where d the ity
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant cholinternational Bur will not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expired.	Basis of the operation of the International pressured and under Rule insidered. as provided above as a way and a provided above as a provided above as a repressive as a written repressive as a written repressive as a provided above as a provided	ment of opinion with regot invention tement under Rule 43b itations and explanation tents cited in the International apprations on the international iminary examination is all Preliminary Examinity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written ove, considered to be ally together, where approf Form PCT/ISA/220 of	pard to novelty, inverses. 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a plication made, this opinion was a plication of the IPEA and the plant opinions of this Interportate, with amending the populate.	to novelty, tatement will usually the chosen national So the IPEA, the	inventive step of the considered to the considered to the construction of the construc	o be a apply where d the ity
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for i written opinion of the applicant cholinternational Bur will not be so co If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expired.	Basis of the operation of the International pressured and under Rule insidered. as provided above as a way and a provided above as a provided above as a repressive as a written repressive as a written repressive as a provided above as a provided	ment of opinion with regot invention tement under Rule 43b itations and explanation tents cited in the international apprations on the international information is all Preliminary examination is all Preliminary Examination of the folion of the folion of the folion of the folion of the form PCT/ISA/220 of CT/ISA/220.	pard to novelty, inverses. 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such a plication made, this opinion was a plication of the IPEA and the plant opinions of this Interportate, with amending the populate.	to novelty, tatement will usually the chosen national So the IPEA, the	inventive step of the considered to the considered to the construction of the construc	o be a apply where d the ity

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Escolar Blasco, P

Authorized Officer

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7331



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001069

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With reneces	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	, 🗆	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppopriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001069

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
	the entire international application,							
Ø	claims Nos. 10-19, in respect of IA							
bed	cause:							
☒	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10-19 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	see separate sheet							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for In Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further d	etail	s · · ·					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/001069

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7,9-16,18-19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-19

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Comments on Item III

Claims 10-19 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Comments on item V

- 1. The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure. If not indicated otherwise, the present communication refers to the passages cited in the International Search Report.
 - D1: WO 94/25485 A
 - D2: ZINGG HANS H ET AL: "The oxytocin receptor." TRENDS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY AND METABOLISM, vol. 14, no. 5, July 2003, pages 222-227
 - D3: EP-A-0 346 068
 - D4: ABBRESCIA K (REPRINT) ET AL: "Complications of second and third trimester pregnancies" EMERGENCY MEDICINE CLINICS OF NORTH AMERICA, VOL. 21, NO. 3, PP. 695-+. PUBLISHER: W B SAUNDERS CO, INDEPENDENCE SQUARE WEST CURTIS CENTER, STE 300, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-3399 USA, August 2003
 - D5: DATABASE TOXCENTER [Online] 2001, COSMI, E. V.: "Pharmacologic treatment of preterm labour" retrieved from STN Database accession no. 2003:308202
- 2. Oxytocin antagonists have been developed for treating oxytocin induced vascular contractility; in particular, the inhibition of preterm labour is their most common medical use (atosiban is marketed for this same indication). Therefore, claims 1, 10 and 19 lacks novelty: see for instance D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5.
- 3. Many known oxytocin antagonists are peptides: see D1 or D3, which anticipate the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/001069

subject-matter of claims 2-3 and D2, which destroys the novelty of claims 2-4 and 11-13.

- 4. D1 and D3 disclose peptidic oxytocin receptor antagonists which can be used for treating hypertension. Hence, they anticipate also the subject-matter of claims 5 and 14.
- 5. D5 mentions the use of oxytocin antagonists in the treatment of preeclampsia, i.e it discloses the additional features of claims 6, 7, 9, 15, 16 and 18.
- 6. Hence, the subject-matter of claims 1-7 and 9 lacks novelty over different prior art documents. The additional feature of claims 8 and 17 has not been specifically disclosed in any of the available documents; however, it does not render inventive the subject-matter of the claims to which it refers.
- 7. For the assessment of the present claims 10-19 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.